

# Warren Consolidated Schools

Dr. Robert Livernois, Superintendent 31300 Anita Drive Warren, MI 48093-1646

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Purpose and Direction	2
Governance and Leadership	4
Teaching and Assessing for Learning	7
Resources and Support Systems	12
Using Results for Continuous Improvement	16
Report Summary	18

#### Introduction

AdvancED's Self Assessment (SA) diagnostic is based on the AdvancED Standards of Quality, which serves as the foundation of the accreditation and continuous improvement process. The SA is a valuable tool for collaboratively engaging staff members and stakeholders in purposeful, honest dialogue and reflection to assess the institution's adherence to the Standards, and guide its continuous improvement efforts. The SA includes the institution's self-ratings of and the evidence cited for each of the indicators, comments that explain the indicator's ratings and an overall narrative for each Standard. The results of the SA are reviewed by the External Review Team as one essential component of the preparation process for the institution's External Review.

#### **Purpose and Direction**

The system maintains and communicates at all levels of the organization a purpose and direction for continuous improvement that commit to high expectations for learning as well as shared values and beliefs about teaching and learning.

#### Overall Rating: 3.0

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.1	The system engages in a systematic, inclusive, and comprehensive process to review, revise, and communicate a system-wide purpose for student success.	The system's process for review, revision, and communication of the purpose statement is documented. The process is formalized and implemented on a regular schedule. The process includes participation by representatives from all stakeholder groups. The purpose statement focuses on student success.	<ul> <li>District purpose statements - past and present</li> <li>Survey results</li> <li>Documentation or description of the process for creating the district's purpose including the role of stakeholders</li> <li>Examples of communications to stakeholders about the district's purpose (i.e. website, newsletters, annual report, student handbook)</li> <li>Copy of strategic plan referencing the district purpose and direction and its effectiveness</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.2	The system ensures that each school engages in a systematic, inclusive, and comprehensive process to review, revise, and communicate a school purpose for student success.	System policies and procedures outline the expectations for schools regarding a systematic, inclusive, and comprehensive process for review, revision, and communication of a purpose for student success. System personnel monitor and maintain data about each school and provide feedback for the improvement of the implementation of the process to school personnel.	<ul> <li>Survey results</li> <li>Agendas and/or minutes that reference a commitment to the components of the schools' purpose statements</li> <li>Examples of school purpose statements if different from the district purpose statement</li> <li>Examples of written stakeholder communications or marketing materials that portray the school purpose and direction</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.3	all levels of the system commit to a culture that is based on shared	Commitment to shared values and beliefs about teaching and learning is evident in documentation and decision making. This commitment is regularly reflected in communication among leaders and staff. Challenging educational programs and equitable learning experiences are implemented so that all students achieve learning, thinking, and life skills necessary for success. Evidence indicates a commitment to instructional practices that include active student engagement, a focus on depth of understanding, and the application of knowledge and skills. System leadership and staff share high expectations for professional practice.	<ul> <li>Examples of schools' continuous improvement plans</li> <li>Survey results</li> <li>Statements or documents about ethical and professional practices</li> <li>Professional development plans and implementation timelines on topics related to equity, organizational effectiveness, and improved instruction and programs</li> <li>Statements of shared values and beliefs about teaching and learning</li> <li>The district strategic plan</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.4	Leadership at all levels of the system implement a continuous improvement process that provides clear direction for improving conditions that support student learning.	Leaders at all levels of the system implement a documented, systematic continuous improvement process for improving student learning and the conditions that support learning. All stakeholder groups are engaged in the process. Personnel maintain a profile with current and comprehensive data on student, school, and system performance. The profile contains analyses of data used to identify goals for the improvement of conditions that support student learning and that are aligned with the system's purpose. Improvement goals have measurable performance targets. The process includes action planning that identifies measurable objectives, strategies, activities, resources, and timelines for achieving improvement goals. Leaders hold all personnel accountable for and evaluate the overall quality of the implementation of all interventions and strategies. The process is reviewed and evaluated. Documentation that the process yields improved student achievement and conditions that support student learning is available and communicated to stakeholders.	<ul> <li>Examples of schools continuous improvement plans</li> <li>District Quality Assurance Review plans that document two-way communication on district and school effectiveness and learning, thinking, and life skills</li> <li>Professional development plans and implementation timelines on topics related to equity, organizational effectiveness, and improved instruction and programs</li> <li>Agenda, minutes from continuous improvement planning meetings</li> <li>Communication plan and artifacts that show two- way communication to staff and stakeholders</li> <li>The district data profile</li> <li>The district strategic plan</li> </ul>	Level 3

### Governance and Leadership

The system operates under governance and leadership that promote and support student performance and system effectiveness.

Overall Rating: 3.17

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
Indicator 2.1	or Statement or Question The governing body establishes policies and supports practices that ensure effective administration of the system and its schools.	stablishes practices ystem and practices and practices clearly and directly support the system's purpose and direction and the effective operation of the system and its schools. Policies and practices require and have mechanisms in place for monitoring conditions that support student learning, effective instruction, and assessment that produce equitable and challenging	Evidence     Professional development plans     Student handbooks     Governing body policies, procedures, and practices     Staff handbooks	
		learning experiences for all students. There are policies and practices requiring and giving direction for professional growth of all staff. Policies and practices provide clear requirements, direction for, and oversight of fiscal management at all levels of the system.	<ul> <li>District operations manuals</li> <li>Communications to stakeholder about policy revisions</li> <li>School handbooks</li> </ul>	

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
Indicator 2.2	Statement or Question           The governing body operates responsibly and functions effectively.	Response           The governing body has a process to ensure that its decisions and actions are in accordance with defined roles and responsibilities, a code of ethics, and free of conflict of interest. Governing body members participate in a systematic, formal professional development process regarding the roles and responsibilities of the governing body and its individual members. The governing body complies with all policies, procedures, laws, and regulations, and functions as a cohesive	<ul> <li>List of assigned staff for compliance</li> <li>Proof of legal counsel</li> <li>Findings of internal and external reviews of</li> </ul>	Rating Level 3
		unit.	•Governing code of ethics	

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.3	The governing body ensures that the leadership at all levels has the autonomy to meet goals for achievement and instruction and to manage day-to-day operations effectively.	The governing body protects, supports, and respects the autonomy of system and school leadership to accomplish goals for improvement in student learning and instruction and to manage day-to-day operations of the system and its schools. The governing body maintains a distinction between its roles and responsibilities and those of system and school leadership.	<ul> <li>Communications regarding governing authority actions</li> <li>District strategic plan</li> <li>Examples of school improvement plans</li> <li>Roles and responsibilities of school leadership</li> <li>Roles and responsibilities of district leadership</li> <li>Social media</li> <li>Survey results regarding functions of the governing authority and operations of the district</li> <li>Stakeholder input and feedback</li> <li>Maintenance of consistent academic oversight, planning, and resource allocation</li> <li>Agendas and minutes of meetings</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.4	Leadership and staff at all levels of the system foster a culture consistent with the system's purpose and direction.	align their decisions and actions toward continuous improvement to achieve the system's purpose. They expect all personnel to maintain high standards and to hold students to high standards in all courses of study. All leaders and staff are collectively accountable for maintaining and improving conditions that support student learning. Leaders throughout the system support innovation, collaboration, shared leadership, and professional growth. The	•Examples of collaboration and shared leadership •Survey results	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.5	Leadership engages stakeholders effectively in support of the system's purpose and direction.	appropriate and varied representatives from stakeholder groups, provide opportunities for stakeholders to shape decisions, solicit feedback and respond to stakeholders, work collaboratively on system and school improvement efforts,	<ul> <li>Minutes from meetings with stakeholders</li> <li>Examples of stakeholder input or feedback resulting in district action</li> <li>Copies of surveys or screen shots from online surveys</li> <li>Survey responses</li> <li>Involvement of stakeholders in a school improvement plan</li> <li>Involvement of stakeholders in district strategic plan</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.6	in improved professional practice in all areas of the system and improved student success.	The focus of the criteria and processes of supervision and evaluation is improving professional practice throughout the system and improving student success. Supervision and evaluation processes are regularly implemented. The results of the supervision and evaluation processes are used to monitor and effectively adjust professional practice throughout the system and improve student learning.	<ul> <li>Minutes from meetings with stakeholders</li> <li>Examples of stakeholder input or feedback resulting in district action</li> <li>Copies of surveys or screen shots from online surveys</li> <li>Involvement of stakeholders in a school improvement plan</li> <li>Involvement of stakeholders in district strategic plan</li> </ul>	Level 3

#### **Teaching and Assessing for Learning**

The system's curriculum, instructional design, and assessment practices guide and ensure teacher effectiveness and student learning across

all grades and courses.

Overall Rating: 2.25

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.1	The system's curriculum provides equitable and challenging learning experiences that ensure all students have sufficient opportunities to develop learning, thinking, and life skills that lead to success at the next level.	Curriculum and learning experiences in each course/class provide most students across the system with challenging and equitable opportunities to develop learning skills, thinking skills, and life skills. There is little evidence to indicate curriculum and learning experiences prepare students for success at the next level. Most like courses/classes have equivalent learning expectations. Some individualized learning activities for each student are evident randomly or in some but not all schools.	<ul> <li>programs</li> <li>Course, program, or school schedules</li> <li>Student work across courses or programs</li> <li>Course or program</li> </ul>	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.2	Curriculum, instruction, and assessment throughout the system are monitored and adjusted systematically in response to data from multiple assessments of student learning and an examination of professional practice.	System personnel monitor and adjust curriculum, instruction, and assessment to ensure vertical and horizontal alignment and alignment with the goals for achievement and instruction and statements of purpose. A process is implemented sometimes to ensure alignment when curriculum, instruction, and/or assessments are reviewed or revised at the system or school level. There is limited evidence that the continuous improvement process ensures vertical and horizontal alignment and alignment with the system's purpose in curriculum, instruction, and assessment.	<ul> <li>Curriculum guides</li> <li>A description of the systematic review process for curriculum, instruction, and assessment</li> <li>Standards-based report cards</li> <li>Program descriptions</li> <li>Teacher evaluation criteria relating to prescribed instructional designs and proprietary practices</li> <li>Products – scope and sequence, curriculum maps</li> </ul>	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.3	Teachers throughout the district engage students in their learning through instructional strategies that ensure achievement of learning expectations.	Teachers in most schools sometimes use instructional strategies that require student collaboration, self-reflection, and development of critical thinking skills. Teachers personalize instructional strategies and interventions to address individual learning needs of groups of students when necessary. Teachers sometimes use instructional strategies that require students to apply knowledge and skills, integrate content and skills with other disciplines, and use technologies as instructional resources and learning tools.	<ul> <li>Examples of teacher use of technology as an instructional resource</li> <li>Findings from supervisor formal and informal observations</li> <li>Student work demonstrating the application of knowledge</li> <li>Examples of professional development offerings and plans tied specifically to the approved or prescribed instructional strategies and programs</li> </ul>	

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.4	System and school leaders monitor and support the improvement of instructional practices of teachers to ensure student success.	System and school leaders formally and consistently monitor instructional practices through supervision and evaluation procedures to ensure that they 1) are aligned with the system's values and beliefs about teaching and learning, 2) are teaching the approved curriculum, 3) are directly engaged with all students in the oversight of their learning, and 4) use content-specific standards of professional practice.	<ul> <li>Curriculum maps</li> <li>Supervision and evaluation procedures</li> <li>Documentation of collection of lesson plans, grade books, or other data record systems</li> <li>Peer or mentoring opportunities and interactions</li> <li>Surveys results</li> <li>Examples of improvements to instructional practices resulting from the evaluation process</li> <li>Administrative classroom observation protocols and logs</li> <li>Professional development offerings and plans tied to the prescribed education program, instructional strategies, developmentally appropriate practices, and student success</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.5	The system operates as a collaborative learning organization through structures that support improved instruction and student learning at all levels.	Some system staff participate in collaborative learning communities that meet both informally and formally. Collaboration occasionally occurs across grade levels, content areas, and other system divisions. Staff members promote discussion about student learning and the conditions that support student learning. Learning, using, and discussing the results of inquiry practices such as action research, the examination of student work, reflection, study teams, and peer coaching sometimes occur among system personnel. System personnel express belief in the value of collaborative learning communities.	<ul> <li>Common language, protocols and reporting tools</li> <li>Agendas and minutes of collaborative learning committees</li> <li>Calendar/schedule of learning community meetings</li> <li>Professional development funding to promote professional learning communities</li> <li>Evidence of informal conversations that reflect collaboration about student learning</li> <li>Examples of improvements to content and instructional practice resulting from collaboration</li> </ul>	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.6	Teachers implement the system's instructional process in support of student learning.	Most teachers in the system use an instructional process that informs students of learning expectations and standards of performance. Exemplars are sometimes provided to guide and inform students. The process may include multiple measures, including formative assessments, to inform the ongoing modification of instruction. The process provides students with feedback about their learning.	•Examples of learning expectations and standards of performance	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.7	instructional improvement consistent with the system's values and beliefs about teaching	Some system personnel are engaged in mentoring, coaching, and induction programs that are consistent with the system's values and beliefs about teaching, learning, and the conditions that support learning. These programs set expectations for system personnel.	<ul> <li>Professional learning calendar with activities for instructional support of new staff</li> <li>Personnel manuals with information related to new hires including mentoring, coaching, and induction practices</li> </ul>	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.8	The system and all of its schools engage families in meaningful ways in their children's education and keep them informed of their children's learning progress.	Programs that engage families in meaningful ways in their children's education are designed and implemented. System and school personnel regularly inform families of their children's learning process.	<ul> <li>Survey results</li> <li>List of varied activities and communications modes with families, e.g., info portal, online, newsletters, parent centers, academic nights, open house, early release days</li> <li>Calendar outlining when and how families are provided information on child's progress</li> <li>Parental/family/caregiver involvement plan including activities, timeframes, and evaluation process</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.9	evaluates structures in all schools whereby each student is well known by at least one adult advocate in the student's school who supports that student's educational experience.	Most school personnel participate in a structure designed by the system that gives them interaction with individual students, allowing them to build relationships over time with the student. Most students participate in the structure. The structure allows the school employee to gain insight into the student's needs regarding learning skills, thinking skills, and life skills.	•Description of formalized structures for adults to advocate on behalf of students	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.10	Grading and reporting are based on clearly defined criteria that represent the attainment of content knowledge and skills and are consistent across grade levels and courses.	Teachers across the system use common grading and reporting policies, processes, and procedures based on clearly defined criteria that represent each student's attainment of content knowledge and skills. These policies, processes, and procedures are implemented in all schools across grade levels and courses. Stakeholders are aware of the policies, processes, and procedures. The policies, processes, and procedures are regularly evaluated.	<ul> <li>Sample report cards for each program or grade level and for all courses and programs</li> <li>Policies, processes, and procedures on grading and reporting</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.11	All staff members participate in a continuous program of professional learning.	Most staff members participate in a program of professional learning that is aligned with the system's purpose and direction. Professional development is based on needs of the system. The program builds capacity among staff members who participate. The program is regularly evaluated for effectiveness.	<ul> <li>Evaluation tools for professional learning</li> <li>District quality control procedures showing implementation plan for professional development for district and school staff</li> <li>District professional development plan involving the district and all schools</li> <li>Brief explanation of alignment between professional learning and identified needs</li> <li>Crosswalk between professional learning and district purpose and direction</li> </ul>	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.12	The system and its schools provide and coordinate learning support services to meet the unique learning needs of students.	proficiency and/or other learning needs (such as second languages). System and school personnel are familiar with research related to unique characteristics of learning (such as learning styles, multiple intelligences, personality type indicators) and provide or coordinate related learning support services to students within these special populations.	<ul> <li>Schedules, lesson plans, or example student learning plans showing the implementation of learning support services</li> <li>List of learning support services and student population served by such services</li> <li>Training and professional learning related to research on unique characteristics of learning</li> <li>Data used to identify unique learning needs of students</li> </ul>	Level 2

### **Resources and Support Systems**

The system has resources and provides services in all schools that support its purpose and direction to ensure success for all students.

Overall Rating: 3.0

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.1	The system engages in a systematic process to recruit, employ, and retain a sufficient number of qualified professional and support staff to fulfill their roles and responsibilities and support the purpose and direction of the system, individual schools, and educational programs.	Policies, processes, and procedures ensure that system and school leaders have access to, hire, place, and retain qualified professional support staff. System and school leaders systematically determine the number of personnel necessary to fill all the roles and responsibilities necessary to support purposes, educational programs, and continuous improvement throughout the district. Sustained fiscal resources are available to fund positions critical to achieve the purpose and direction of the system, individual schools, and educational programs.	<ul> <li>District budgets or financial plans for the last three years</li> <li>District quality assurance procedures for monitoring qualified staff across all schools</li> <li>School budgets or financial plans for last three years</li> <li>Assessments of staffing needs</li> <li>Documentation of highly qualified staff</li> <li>Policies, processes, procedures and other documentation related to the hiring, placement and retention of professional and support staff for the district and schools</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.2	Instructional time, material resources, and fiscal resources are sufficient to support the purpose and direction of the system, individual schools, educational programs, and system operations.	Instructional time, material resources, and fiscal resources are focused on supporting the purpose and direction of the system, its schools, educational programs, and system operations. Instructional time is protected in policy and practice. System and school leaders work to secure material and fiscal resources to meet the needs of all students and improve the effectiveness of the system. System and school leaders demonstrate that instructional time, material resources, and fiscal resources are allocated so that all students have equitable opportunities to attain challenging learning expectations. Efforts toward the continuous improvement of instruction and operations include achieving the purpose and direction of the system and its schools.	<ul> <li>Examples of school schedules</li> <li>Examples of school calendars</li> <li>Alignment of school budgets with school purpose and direction</li> <li>Alignment of district budget with district purpose and direction</li> <li>District strategic plan showing resources support for district</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.3	The system maintains facilities, services, and equipment to provide a safe, clean, and healthy environment for all students and staff.	System and school leaders have adopted or created clear expectations for maintaining safety, cleanliness, and a healthy environment, and have shared these definitions and expectations with stakeholders. System and school personnel as well as students are accountable for maintaining these expectations. Measures are in place that allow for continuous tracking of these conditions. Improvement plans are developed and implemented by appropriate personnel as necessary to improve these conditions. Results of improvement efforts are evaluated.	<ul> <li>District quality control procedures showing the monitoring of compliance with district expectations for school facilities and learning environments</li> <li>Example systems for school maintenance requests</li> <li>Survey results</li> <li>Documentation of compliance with local and state inspections requirements</li> <li>Policies, handbooks on district and school facilities and learning environments</li> <li>Example maintenance schedules for schools afety committee responsibilities, meeting schedules, and minutes</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.4	The system demonstrates strategic resource management that includes long-range planning in support of the purpose and direction of the system.	The system has policies and procedures for strategic resource management. The system employs a long-range strategic planning process in the areas of budget, facilities, and other strategic system components. The strategic planning process is evaluated for effectiveness, and improvement plans related to the process are developed and implemented when necessary. Strategic plans are implemented with fidelity by the governing body, and system leaders and have built-in measures used to monitor implementation and completion.	•Policies, handbooks on district and school facilities and learning environments	

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.5	The system provides, coordinates, and evaluates the effectiveness of information resources and related personnel to support educational programs throughout the system.	The system provides, coordinates, and evaluates the effectiveness of information resources and related personnel to ensure that all students, school, and system personnel have access to the media and information resources necessary to achieve the educational programs of the system and its schools. The system implements and evaluates processes to ensure qualified personnel are recruited, hired, and retained in sufficient numbers to assist students, school and system personnel in learning about the tools and locations for finding and retrieving information.	<ul> <li>District education delivery model intended for school implementation including media and information resources to support the education program</li> <li>Data on media and information resources available to students and staff</li> <li>Schedule of staff availability to assist students and school personnel related to finding and retrieving information</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.6	The system provides a technology infrastructure and equipment to support the system's teaching, learning, and operational needs.	The system provides a modern, fully functional technology infrastructure, modern, updated equipment, and a qualified technical support staff to meet the teaching, learning, and operational needs of all stakeholders throughout the system. System and school personnel collect data concerning needs and use the resulting data to develop and implement a technology plan to continuously improve technology services, infrastructure, and equipment.	<ul> <li>Policies relative to technology use at the district-level and school- level</li> <li>District technology plan and budget to improve technology services and infrastructure for the district-level and school- level</li> <li>District quality control procedures that monitor the effectiveness of technology services at the district-level and school- level</li> <li>Assessments to inform development of district and school technology plans</li> <li>Brief description of learning management systems or data management systems that support the effective use of student assessment results, school effectiveness, and district effectiveness</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.7	The system provides, coordinates, and evaluates the effectiveness of support systems to meet the physical, social, and emotional needs of the student population being served.	The system has designed and implemented a process to determine the physical, social, and emotional needs of all students and then selects or designs and implements programs to meet the needs of these students. Measures of program effectiveness are in place, and system and school personnel use the data from these measures to regularly evaluate all programs. Improvement plans related to these programs are designed and implemented to more effectively meet the needs of all students.	<ul> <li>Student assessment system for identifying student needs</li> <li>Agreements with school community agencies for student-family support</li> <li>Rubrics on developmentally appropriate benchmarks; e.g. early childhood education</li> <li>Examples of improvements made to education program and delivery models based on results of program effectiveness evaluations</li> <li>List of support services available to students</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.8	The system provides, coordinates, and evaluates the effectiveness of services that support the counseling, assessment, referral, educational, and career planning needs of all students.	The system has designed and implemented a process to determine the counseling, assessment, referral, educational, and career planning needs of all students and then selects or designs and implements programs to meet the needs of these students. Measures of program effectiveness are in place, and system and school personnel use the data from these measures to regularly evaluate all programs. Improvement plans related to these programs are designed and implemented to more effectively meet the needs of all students.	<ul> <li>List of services available related to counseling, assessment, referral, educational, and career planning</li> <li>Survey results</li> <li>Budget for counseling, assessment, referral, educational and career planning</li> <li>Description of IEP process</li> <li>Description of referral process</li> </ul>	Level 3

#### **Using Results for Continuous Improvement**

The system implements a comprehensive assessment system that generates a range of data about student learning and system effectiveness and uses the results to guide continuous improvement.

#### Overall Rating: 2.8

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.1	The system establishes and maintains a clearly defined and comprehensive student assessment system.	System and school personnel maintain and use a comprehensive assessment system that produces data from multiple assessment measures. These measures include locally developed and standardized assessments about student learning as well as school and system (including non-instructional divisions) performance. The comprehensive assessment system ensures consistent measurement across classrooms, courses, educational programs and system divisions. Most assessments are proven reliable and bias free. The comprehensive assessment system is regularly evaluated for reliability and effectiveness in improving instruction, student learning, and the conditions that support learning.	<ul> <li>Documentation or description of evaluation tools/protocols</li> <li>Brief description of student assessment system including range of data produced from standardized and local or school assessments on student learning and school performance</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
	Professional and support staff continuously collect, analyze and apply learning from a range of data sources, including comparison and trend data about student learning, instruction, program evaluation, and organizational conditions that support learning.	Systematic processes and procedures for collecting, analyzing, and applying learning from multiple data sources are documented and used consistently by professional and support staff throughout the district. Data sources include comparison and trend data that provide a complete picture of student learning, instruction, the effectiveness of programs, and the conditions that support learning. System and school personnel use data to design, implement, and evaluate continuous improvement plans to improve student learning, instruction, the effectiveness of programs, and the conditions that support learning.	<ul> <li>Examples of data used to measure the effectiveness of the district systems that support schools and learning</li> <li>List of data sources related to district effectiveness</li> <li>Written protocols and procedures for data collection and analysis</li> <li>Examples of use of data to design, implement, and evaluate continuous improvement plans and apply learning</li> <li>List of data sources related to student learning, instruction, program effectiveness, and conditions that support learning</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.3	Throughout the system professional and support staff are trained in the interpretation and use of data.	All professional and support staff members are assessed and trained in a rigorous professional development program related to the evaluation, interpretation, and use of data.	<ul> <li>Professional learning schedule specific to the use of data</li> <li>Documentation of attendance and training related to data use</li> <li>Survey results</li> <li>Training materials specific to the evaluation, interpretation, and use of data</li> </ul>	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.4	The school system engages in a continuous process to determine verifiable improvement in student learning, including readiness for and success at the next level.	A process exists for analyzing data that determine verifiable improvement in student learning including readiness for and success at the next level. Results indicate mixed levels of improvement, and system and school personnel sometimes use these results to design, implement, and evaluate the results of continuous improvement action plans related to student learning, including readiness for and success at the next level.	<ul> <li>Policies and procedures specific to data use and training</li> <li>Agendas, minutes of meetings related to analysis of data</li> <li>Examples of use of results to evaluate continuous improvement action plans</li> <li>Evidence of student growth</li> </ul>	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.5	System and school leaders monitor and communicate comprehensive information about student learning, school performance, and the achievement of system and school improvement goals to stakeholders.	System and school leaders monitor comprehensive information about student learning, system and school effectiveness, and the achievement of system and school improvement goals. Leaders regularly communicate results using multiple delivery methods and to all stakeholder groups.	<ul> <li>District quality control procedures for monitoring district effectiveness</li> <li>Minutes of meetings regarding achievement of student learning goals</li> <li>District quality control procedures for monitoring information about student learning, systems that support learning, and the achievement of school improvement goals</li> <li>Executive summaries of student learning reports to stakeholder groups</li> </ul>	Level 3

#### **Report Summary**

